

Asymptotics of weighted Bergman polynomials

Laurent Baratchart

INRIA Sophia-Antipolis-Méditerranée France

Weighted Bergman polynomials

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded region and $w \geq 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, not identically zero.

Weighted Bergman polynomials

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded region and $w \geq 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, not identically zero. The weighted Bergman orthonormal polynomials P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} P_n \overline{P_k} w \, dm = \delta_{n,k},$$

where the leading coefficient is normalized to be positive:

$$P_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + a_{n-1}^{(n)} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0^{(n)}, \quad \kappa_n > 0.$$

Here, dm stands for Lebesgue measure.

Weighted Bergman polynomials

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded region and $w \geq 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, not identically zero. The weighted Bergman orthonormal polynomials P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} P_n \overline{P_k} w \, dm = \delta_{n,k},$$

where the leading coefficient is normalized to be positive:

$$P_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + a_{n-1}^{(n)} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0^{(n)}, \quad \kappa_n > 0.$$

Here, dm stands for Lebesgue measure. Note we only consider **absolutely continuous** measures of orthogonality.

Background

When $w \equiv 1$, orthonormal polynomials were studied on Jordan domains by Bochner, Carleman [1922], Bergman [1950], Fuks [1951], Rosenbloom& Warschawski [1955], Smirnov& Lebedev [1964].

Background

When $w \equiv 1$, orthonormal polynomials were studied on Jordan domains by Bochner, Carleman [1922], Bergman [1950], Fuks [1951], Rosenbloom& Warschawski [1955], Smirnov& Lebedev [1964]. Their research was related to [conformal mapping](#) and to [Faber's program of constructing generalized Taylor series](#).

Background

When $w \equiv 1$, orthonormal polynomials were studied on Jordan domains by Bochner, Carleman [1922], Bergman [1950], Fuks [1951], Rosenbloom& Warschawski [1955], Smirnov& Lebedev [1964]. Their research was related to conformal mapping and to Faber's program of constructing generalized Taylor series.

Closely connected to these works is the issue of the density of polynomials in the holomorphic Bergman space that was investigated by Keldys [1939], Markusevic& Farell [1942], Dzrbasjan [1948], Mergelyan [1962], Saginjaw.

Background cont'd

In recent years, still for $w \equiv 1$,

- Mina-Diaz [2008] contributed **strong interior and exterior asymptotics** on **analytic** simply connected domains for weights which are **squared moduli of polynomials**.

Background cont'd

In recent years, still for $w \equiv 1$,

- Mina-Diaz [2008] contributed **strong interior and exterior asymptotics** on **analytic** simply connected domains for weights which are **squared moduli of polynomials**.
- Stylianopoulos [2009] derived **exterior asymptotics** on **piecewise analytic** simply connected domains with corners.

Background cont'd

In recent years, still for $w \equiv 1$,

- Mina-Diaz [2008] contributed **strong interior and exterior asymptotics** on **analytic** simply connected domains for weights which are **squared moduli of polynomials**.
- Stylianopoulos [2009] derived **exterior asymptotics** on **piecewise analytic** simply connected domains with corners.
- Gustafsson, Putinar, Saff and Stylianopoulos [2009] obtained **asymptotic bounds** for such polynomials on **finite unions** of **analytic** Jordan domains (archipelagoes).

Background cont'd

In recent years, still for $w \equiv 1$,

- Mina-Diaz [2008] contributed **strong interior and exterior asymptotics** on **analytic** simply connected domains for weights which are **squared moduli of polynomials**.
- Stylianopoulos [2009] derived **exterior asymptotics** on **piecewise analytic** simply connected domains with corners.
- Gustafsson, Putinar, Saff and Stylianopoulos [2009] obtained **asymptotic bounds** for such polynomials on **finite unions** of **analytic** Jordan domains (archipelagoes).
- Saff, Stahl, Stylianopoulos and Totik [2014] deal with **multiply connected analytic** domains (archipelagoes with lakes).

Further motivation

Further motivation

- Investigation of the Bergman shift: $f \rightarrow zf$ on the closure of polynomials in $L^2(w)$.

Further motivation

- Investigation of the Bergman shift: $f \rightarrow zf$ on the closure of polynomials in $L^2(w)$. In the basis P_0, P_1, \dots , its matrix is of Hessenberg form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & \cdots \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & \cdots \\ 0 & M_{32} & M_{33} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & M_{43} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further motivation

- Investigation of the Bergman shift: $f \rightarrow zf$ on the closure of polynomials in $L^2(w)$. In the basis P_0, P_1, \dots , its matrix is of Hessenberg form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & \cdots \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & \cdots \\ 0 & M_{32} & M_{33} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & M_{43} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Properties of P_n connect to spectral properties of M because $P_n(z) = \det(z - \pi_n M \pi_n)$ where π_n is projection onto polynomials of degree $< n$.

Further motivation

- Investigation of the Bergman shift: $f \rightarrow zf$ on the closure of polynomials in $L^2(w)$. In the basis P_0, P_1, \dots , its matrix is of Hessenberg form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & \cdots \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & \cdots \\ 0 & M_{32} & M_{33} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & M_{43} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Properties of P_n connect to spectral properties of M because $P_n(z) = \det(z - \pi_n M \pi_n)$ where π_n is projection onto polynomials of degree $< n$.

- Other incentives come from Heele-Shaw flows, particle systems, ...

$w \neq 1$: Korovkin's result

$w \neq 1$: Korovkin's result

Korovkin [1947] obtained **exterior and interior** asymptotics for the case of a simply connected **analytic domain** Ω when the weight is of the form $|\Phi'g|^2$ in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, where $\Phi : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the conformal map with $\Phi'(\infty) > 0$ and g is **holomorphic nonvanishing** in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$.

The result reads

$$P_n(z) = \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\Phi^n(z)}{g(z)} (1 + O(\lambda^n)), \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1,$$

for z in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$.

$w \neq 1$ cont'd: P. Suetin's result

$w \neq 1$ cont'd: P. Suetin's result

The case of Hölder-continuous strictly positive weights on the closure of an analytic simply connected domain was studied by Suetin [1959-1964].

$w \neq 1$ cont'd: P. Suetin's result

The case of Hölder-continuous strictly positive weights on the closure of an analytic simply connected domain was studied by Suetin [1959-1964].

He obtained asymptotics, locally uniformly for z outside the convex hull of Ω :

$w \not\equiv 1$ cont'd: P. Suetin's result

The case of Hölder-continuous strictly positive weights on the closure of an analytic simply connected domain was studied by Suetin [1959-1964].

He obtained asymptotics, locally uniformly for z outside the convex hull of Ω :

$$P_n(z) = \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \Phi^n(z) \Phi'(z) S^-(z) (1 + O((\log n/n)^\alpha))$$

where α is the Hölder exponent of w and

$$S^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}$$

is the exterior Szegő function of $w|_{\partial\Omega}$.

The Szegő function

The Szegő function

- The **exterior** Szegő function of a weight $w_1 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $\log |w_1| \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$:

$$S_{w_1}^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega},$$

recurs in every asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials

The Szegő function

- The **exterior** Szegő function of a weight $w_1 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $\log |w_1| \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$:

$$S_{w_1}^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega},$$

recurs in every asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials (also in non-Hermitian orthogonality).

The Szegő function

- The **exterior** Szegő function of a weight $w_1 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $\log |w_1| \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$:

$$S_{w_1}^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega},$$

recurs in every asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials (also in non-Hermitian orthogonality).

- In fact $S_{w_1}^-$ is the largest (in modulus) nonvanishing analytic function in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ whose nontangential maximal function lies in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and whose nontangential limit on $\partial\Omega$ has squared modulus $1/w_1$ a.e..

The Szegő function

- The **exterior** Szegő function of a weight $w_1 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $\log |w_1| \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$:

$$S_{w_1}^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega},$$

recurs in every asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials (also in non-Hermitian orthogonality).

- In fact $S_{w_1}^-$ is the largest (in modulus) nonvanishing analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ whose nontangential maximal function lies in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and whose nontangential limit on $\partial\Omega$ has squared modulus $1/w_1$ a.e..
- The **interior** Szegő function $S_{w_1}^+(z)$ is defined similarly for $z \in \Omega$ using the interior conformal map Φ_1 , and this time $|S_{w_1}^+|^2 = w_1$ on $\partial\Omega$.

The Szegő function

- The **exterior** Szegő function of a weight $w_1 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $\log |w_1| \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$:

$$S_{w_1}^-(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \Phi(z)}{e^{i\theta} - \Phi(z)} \log w(\Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \right\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega},$$

recurs in every asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials (also in non-Hermitian orthogonality).

- In fact $S_{w_1}^-$ is the largest (in modulus) nonvanishing analytic function in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ whose nontangential maximal function lies in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and whose nontangential limit on $\partial\Omega$ has squared modulus $1/w_1$ a.e..
- The **interior** Szegő function $S_{w_1}^+(z)$ is defined similarly for $z \in \Omega$ using the interior conformal map Φ_1 , and this time $|S_{w_1}^+|^2 = w_1$ on $\partial\Omega$.
- $S_{w_1}^\pm$ solve a “Riemann-Hilbert problem”:

$$S_{w_1}^-(\xi) = \left(\overline{S_{w_1}^+(\Phi_1^{-1} \circ \Phi(\xi))} \right)^{-1}, \quad \xi \in \partial\Omega.$$

$w \neq 1$: improvements

$w \neq 1$: improvements

- Smirnov& Lebedev [1964] improved Korovkin's result by allowing g to have a zero at infinity (of arbitrary multiplicity).

$w \neq 1$: improvements

- Smirnov& Lebedev [1964] improved Korovkin's result by allowing g to have a zero at infinity (of arbitrary multiplicity).
- Mina-Diaz (2010) has relaxed the zero condition in Korovkin's result to **non-zeroing in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T}** .

$w \neq 1$: improvements

- Smirnov& Lebedev [1964] improved Korovkin's result by allowing g to have a zero at infinity (of arbitrary multiplicity).
- Mina-Diaz (2010) has relaxed the zero condition in Korovkin's result to **non-zeroing in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T}** .
- Simanek [2012] obtained ratio asymptotics for large $|z|$ and analytic simply connected Ω , for weights which are conformal images of certain product measures on the unit disk \mathbb{D} :

$$w = \left(\nu(\theta) \times \tau(\rho) \right) \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}.$$

$w \neq 1$: improvements

- Smirnov& Lebedev [1964] improved Korovkin's result by allowing g to have a zero at infinity (of arbitrary multiplicity).
- Mina-Diaz (2010) has relaxed the zero condition in Korovkin's result to **non-zeroing in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T}** .
- Simanek [2012] obtained ratio asymptotics for large $|z|$ and analytic simply connected Ω , for weights which are conformal images of certain product measures on the unit disk \mathbb{D} :

$$w = \left(\nu(\theta) \times \tau(\rho) \right) \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}.$$

- Mina-Diaz and Simanek [2013] gave **necessary conditions** on w for exterior asymptotics to hold.

$w \neq 1$: further remarks

$w \neq 1$: further remarks

- The results by Korovkin, Suetin, Mina-Diaz and Simanek substantiate the claim that asymptotics of P_n depends only on the behavior of w close to $\partial\Omega$.

$w \neq 1$: further remarks

- The results by Korovkin, Suetin, Mina-Diaz and Simanek substantiate the claim that asymptotics of P_n depends only on the behavior of w close to $\partial\Omega$.
- Saff and Simon speculated that ratio asymptotics exists for $|z|$ large, as soon as w does not vanish too much in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, at least for reasonably smooth Ω (generalization of a theorem by Rakhmanov on the circle).

$w \neq 1$: further remarks

- The results by Korovkin, Suetin, Mina-Diaz and Simanek substantiate the claim that asymptotics of P_n depends only on the behavior of w close to $\partial\Omega$.
- Saff and Simon speculated that ratio asymptotics exists for $|z|$ large, as soon as w does not vanish too much in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, at least for reasonably smooth Ω (generalization of a theorem by Rakhmanov on the circle).
- Defining what “does not vanish too much” means is part of the question.

Scholium

Scholium

- Exterior asymptotics we mentioned are similar to Szegő asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the weight $w_{|\partial\Omega}$, except for the extra factor $\sqrt{(n+1)/\pi}$.

Scholium

- Exterior asymptotics we mentioned are similar to Szegő asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the weight $w_{|\partial\Omega}$, except for the extra factor $\sqrt{(n+1)/\pi}$.
- In fact all these results can be thought of as **perturbations of the 1-D case**, where the influence of the “germ” of the weight close to the boundary asymptotically dominates all other phenomena.

Scholium

- Exterior asymptotics we mentioned are similar to Szegő asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on $\partial\Omega$ with respect to the weight $w|_{\partial\Omega}$, except for the extra factor $\sqrt{(n+1)/\pi}$.
- In fact all these results can be thought of as perturbations of the 1-D case, where the influence of the “germ” of the weight close to the boundary asymptotically dominates all other phenomena.
- It is to ensure this dominance that nonzeroing assumptions on w to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ are made.

Outline

Outline

- In this talk we report on **fairly weak assumptions on the weight** under which exterior asymptotics hold as before.

Outline

- In this talk we report on **fairly weak assumptions on the weight** under which exterior asymptotics hold as before.
- We pay a price in that we no longer provide rates of convergence. In fact, with the assumptions we make, convergence can be arbitrarily slow.

Outline

- In this talk we report on **fairly weak assumptions on the weight** under which exterior asymptotics hold as before.
- We pay a price in that we no longer provide rates of convergence. In fact, with the assumptions we make, convergence can be arbitrarily slow.
- We mainly discuss **analytic** Jordan domains Ω , meaning that $\partial\Omega$ is the image of the unit circle \mathbb{T} under a map analytic and univalent in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T} .

Outline

- In this talk we report on **fairly weak assumptions on the weight** under which exterior asymptotics hold as before.
- We pay a price in that we no longer provide rates of convergence. In fact, with the assumptions we make, convergence can be arbitrarily slow.
- We mainly discuss **analytic** Jordan domains Ω , meaning that $\partial\Omega$ is the image of the unit circle \mathbb{T} under a map analytic and univalent in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T} . Results extend to **$C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains**, as will be stressed later.

Outline

- In this talk we report on **fairly weak assumptions on the weight** under which exterior asymptotics hold as before.
- We pay a price in that we no longer provide rates of convergence. In fact, with the assumptions we make, convergence can be arbitrarily slow.
- We mainly discuss **analytic** Jordan domains Ω , meaning that $\partial\Omega$ is the image of the unit circle \mathbb{T} under a map analytic and univalent in a neighborhood of \mathbb{T} . Results extend to **$C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains**, as will be stressed later.

Assumptions

- Ω is an analytic Jordan domain. In particular, $\psi := \phi^{-1}$ extends conformally into a map from $\{|z| > 1 - \varepsilon\}$ onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_1$, where $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$.

Assumptions

- Ω is an analytic Jordan domain. In particular, $\Psi := \Phi^{-1}$ extends conformally into a map from $\{|z| > 1 - \varepsilon\}$ onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_1$, where $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$.
- Putting $\Psi_r(e^{i\theta}) := \Psi(re^{i\theta})$, we assume that $w \circ \Psi_r$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, for some $p > 1$. If F is the limit, we put $w_1 := F \circ \Phi$.

Assumptions

- Ω is an analytic Jordan domain. In particular, $\Psi := \Phi^{-1}$ extends conformally into a map from $\{|z| > 1 - \varepsilon\}$ onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_1$, where $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$.
- Putting $\Psi_r(e^{i\theta}) := \Psi(re^{i\theta})$, we assume that $w \circ \Psi_r$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, for some $p > 1$. If F is the limit, we put $w_1 := F \circ \Phi$.
- Putting $\Gamma_\eta := \Psi(\{|z| = \eta\})$ for $1 - \varepsilon < \eta < 1$, we assume that

$$\sup_{1-\varepsilon < \eta < 1} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} \log^- w \log^+(\log^- w) d\sigma < +\infty.$$

This last condition expresses that the weight does not vanish too much in the vicinity of $\partial\Omega$.

Main result

Main result

Theorem

Under the previous assumptions it holds that

$$P_n(z) = \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \Phi^n(z) \Phi'(z) S_{w_1}^-(z) (1 + o(1))$$

locally uniformly outside the convex hull of Ω , with $S_{w_1}^-$ the exterior Szegő function of w_1 .

An example

An example

- Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω .

An example

- Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω .
- Let $\{a_k\}$ be a summable family of positive numbers.

An example

- Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω .
- Let $\{a_k\}$ be a summable family of positive numbers.
- Put

$$w(z) := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \log \left(\log \left| \frac{\text{diam}\Omega + 1}{z - z_k} \right| \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

An example

- Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω .
- Let $\{a_k\}$ be a summable family of positive numbers.
- Put

$$w(z) := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \log \left(\log \left| \frac{\text{diam}\Omega + 1}{z - z_k} \right| \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

- Then the theorem applies to w on Ω .

An example

- Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω .
- Let $\{a_k\}$ be a summable family of positive numbers.
- Put

$$w(z) := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \log \left(\log \left| \frac{\text{diam}\Omega + 1}{z - z_k} \right| \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

- Then the theorem applies to w on Ω .
- When $\{z_k\}$ is dense in Ω , then w vanishes in the neighborhood of every point.

Structure of the proof

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n .

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.
- Next we derive an **asymptotic lower bound** for κ_n .

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.
- Next we derive an **asymptotic lower bound** for κ_n . There, we use the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.
- Next we derive an **asymptotic lower bound** for κ_n . There, we use the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

This rests on constructing a sequence of auxiliary polynomials $\{Q_n\}$ whose leading coefficient asymptotically **matches the upper bound** and whose norm in $L^2(w)$ is asymptotically **1**.

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.
- Next we derive an **asymptotic lower bound** for κ_n . There, we use the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

This rests on constructing a sequence of auxiliary polynomials $\{Q_n\}$ whose leading coefficient asymptotically **matches the upper bound** and whose norm in $L^2(w)$ is asymptotically **1**. There **assumptions on w** are used.

Structure of the proof

It has three steps:

- First we derive an **upper bound** for κ_n . This rests on direct estimation of some appropriate integral and requires no assumption on the weight.
- Next we derive an **asymptotic lower bound** for κ_n . There, we use the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

This rests on constructing a sequence of auxiliary polynomials $\{Q_n\}$ whose leading coefficient asymptotically **matches the upper bound** and whose norm in $L^2(w)$ is asymptotically **1**. **These assumptions on w are used.**

- At this point, we will know that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{n+1}} = (\pi \mathcal{G}_{w_1})^{-1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{w_1} = \exp\{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(w_1 \circ \Psi)\}$ is the geometric mean.

Structure of the proof cont'd

Structure of the proof cont'd

- Having at our disposal a sequence of polynomials Q_n with dominant coefficient $\alpha_n \sim \kappa_n$ whose $L^2(w)$ norm is asymptotically 1, we use a technique of Widom:

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_n - Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 &= \|P_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\Re\langle P_n, Q_n \rangle_w \\ &= 1 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\kappa_n} \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Structure of the proof cont'd

- Having at our disposal a sequence of polynomials Q_n with dominant coefficient $\alpha_n \sim \kappa_n$ whose $L^2(w)$ norm is asymptotically 1, we use a technique of Widom:

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_n - Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 &= \|P_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\Re\langle P_n, Q_n \rangle_w \\ &= 1 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\kappa_n} \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

- By [Saff,Stahl,Stylianopoulos, Totik, 2012] [Simanek,2012]

$$|P_n/Q_n - 1| \leq \|P_n - Q_n\|_{L^2(w)} d(z, \text{Conv}\Omega) + \text{diam}\Omega)^2 / d^2(z, \text{Conv}\Omega),$$

hence $P_n \sim Q_n$ outside $\text{Conv}\Omega$.

Structure of the proof cont'd

- Having at our disposal a sequence of polynomials Q_n with dominant coefficient $\alpha_n \sim \kappa_n$ whose $L^2(w)$ norm is asymptotically 1, we use a technique of Widom:

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_n - Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 &= \|P_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\Re\langle P_n, Q_n \rangle_w \\ &= 1 + \|Q_n\|_{L^2(w)}^2 - 2\frac{\alpha_n}{\kappa_n} \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

- By [Saff,Stahl,Stylianopoulos, Totik, 2012] [Simanek,2012]

$$|P_n/Q_n - 1| \leq \|P_n - Q_n\|_{L^2(w)} d(z, \text{Conv}\Omega) + \text{diam}\Omega)^2 / d^2(z, \text{Conv}\Omega),$$

hence $P_n \sim Q_n$ outside $\text{Conv}\Omega$.

- Finally one checks by inspection that

$$Q_n(z) = \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} z^n S_{w_1}^-(z) \{1 + o(1)\}, \quad z \notin \bar{\Omega}.$$

A closer look at the upper bound

A closer look at the upper bound

Theorem

For Ω an analytic Jordan domain and $w \geq 0$ a weight function in $L^1(\Omega)$, it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n \frac{(\text{cap } \Omega)^{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(\text{ess sup}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} G_{w \circ \Psi_r}^{1/2} \right)}$$

where cap indicates the logarithmic capacity.

A closer look at the upper bound cont'd

A closer look at the upper bound cont'd

Proof:

Let $A_{1,R}$ to be the annular region between Γ_1 and Γ_R , $R < 1$, and consider the integral:

$$J_n := \int_R^1 r dr \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-2ni\theta} \left(P_n \circ \Psi_r(e^{i\theta}) \Psi'(re^{i\theta}) / S_{w \circ \Psi_r}^-(e^{i\theta}) \right)^2 d\theta.$$

On the one hand, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n| &\leq \int_R^1 r dr \int_0^{2\pi} |P_n(\Psi(re^{i\theta}))|^2 w(\Psi(re^{i\theta})) |\Psi'(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\ &= \int_{A_{1,R}} |P(\xi)|^2 w(\xi) dm(\xi) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

A closer look at the upper bound cont'd

A closer look at the upper bound cont'd

Proof cont'd:

On the other hand, using the residue formula at infinity for Hardy functions of class $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= 2\pi \int_R^1 r^{2n+1} dr \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}_r} \left(\frac{P_n(\Psi(\xi))}{\xi^n S_{W \circ \Psi_r}^-(\xi)} \right)^2 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \\ &= 2\pi \kappa_n^2 (\text{cap } \Omega)^{2n+2} \int_R^1 r^{2n+1} G_{W \circ \Psi_r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

A closer look at the upper bound cont'd

Proof cont'd:

On the other hand, using the residue formula at infinity for Hardy functions of class $H^1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= 2\pi \int_R^1 r^{2n+1} dr \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}_r} \left(\frac{P_n(\Psi(\xi))}{\xi^n S_{w \circ \Psi_r}^-(\xi)} \right)^2 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \\ &= 2\pi \kappa_n^2 (\text{cap } \Omega)^{2n+2} \int_R^1 r^{2n+1} G_{w \circ \Psi_r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is elementary that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2n+2)^{-1} \int_R^1 r^{2n+1} G_{w \circ \Psi_r} dr \leq \text{ess sup}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} G_{w \circ \Psi_r}^{1/2}.$$

A closer look at the lower bound

A closer look at the lower bound

We first consider the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$, the unit disk.

A closer look at the lower bound

We first consider the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$, the unit disk.

Theorem

Let $w \in L^1(\mathbb{D})$ and assume that

$$w_1 := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} w_r \text{ exists in } L^p(\mathbb{T}), \quad p > 1.$$

Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{n+1}} \geq (\pi \mathcal{G}_{w_1})^{-1/2},$$

where the right-hand side may be **finite or infinite** depending whether $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w_1 > -\infty$ or $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w_1 = -\infty$.

About the proof

About the proof

Recall the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

About the proof

Recall the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

The proof rests on the construction of a sequence of auxiliary polynomial whose leading coefficient **matches the lower bound** and whose norm in $L^2(w)$ is asymptotically **1**.

About the proof

Recall the characterization:

$$\kappa_n = \sup\{\kappa; \exists P(z) = \kappa z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, \|P\|_{L^2(w)} \leq 1\}.$$

The proof rests on the construction of a sequence of auxiliary polynomial whose leading coefficient **matches the lower bound** and whose norm in $L^2(w)$ is asymptotically **1**. Such a sequence is given by

$$Q_n(e^{i\theta}) := \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} e^{(n-k_n)i\theta} \mathbf{P}_+ \left(e^{ik_n\theta} S_{w_1,+}^{-1}(e^{-i\theta}) \right).$$

Here \mathbf{P}_+ indicates analytic projection that selects Fourier coefficients of non-negative index, and $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ but $k_n/n \rightarrow 0$.

About the proof cont'd

About the proof cont'd

- In fact, the estimates are obtained first when $w \geq \delta > 0$.

About the proof cont'd

- In fact, the estimates are obtained first when $w \geq \delta > 0$. This is because the convergence of the Fourier series of $S_{w_1,+}^{-1}$ then takes place in $L^{2p'}$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

About the proof cont'd

- In fact, the estimates are obtained first when $w \geq \delta > 0$. This is because the convergence of the Fourier series of $S_{w_1,+}^{-1}$ then takes place in $L^{2p'}$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.
- To remove the assumption that $w \geq \delta > 0$, we apply the preceding case to $w^{\{m\}} := w + \delta_m$ where $\delta_m \in (0, 1) \rightarrow 0$ and we use that κ_n increases when the measure decreases.

About the proof cont'd

- In fact, the estimates are obtained first when $w \geq \delta > 0$. This is because the convergence of the Fourier series of $S_{w_1,+}^{-1}$ then takes place in $L^{2p'}$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.
- To remove the assumption that $w \geq \delta > 0$, we apply the preceding case to $w^{\{m\}} := w + \delta_m$ where $\delta_m \in (0, 1) \rightarrow 0$ and we use that κ_n increases when the measure decreases.
- Besides, the needed convergence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}_{w_1^{\{m\}}} = \mathcal{G}_{w_1}$$

follows easily from dominated and monotone convergence applied to the positive and negative parts of the functions.

About the proof cont'd

About the proof cont'd

To pass to analytic Ω , we use the Faber polynomials of the second kind F_n , defined as the singular part at infinity of $\phi^n\phi'$:

About the proof cont'd

To pass to analytic Ω , we use the Faber polynomials of the second kind F_n , defined as the singular part at infinity of $\Phi^n\Phi'$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^n(z)\Phi'(z) &= \text{cap}^{n+1}\Omega z^n + \alpha_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j} \\ &= F_n(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j}.\end{aligned}$$

About the proof cont'd

To pass to analytic Ω , we use the Faber polynomials of the second kind F_n , defined as the singular part at infinity of $\Phi^n\Phi'$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^n(z)\Phi'(z) &= \text{cap}^{n+1}\Omega z^n + \alpha_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j} \\ &= F_n(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j}.\end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$V_R := \Psi(\{z : |z| > R\}), \quad R > R_0.$$

About the proof cont'd

To pass to analytic Ω , we use the Faber polynomials of the second kind F_n , defined as the singular part at infinity of $\Phi^n\Phi'$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^n(z)\Phi'(z) &= \text{cap}^{n+1}\Omega z^n + \alpha_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j} \\ &= F_n(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j z^{-j}.\end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$V_R := \Psi(\{z : |z| > R\}), \quad R > R_0.$$

we get by Cauchy's theorem:

$$F_n(z) = \Phi^n(z)\Phi'(z) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{\Phi^n(\xi)\Phi'(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in V_R.$$

Then, a straightforward majorization gives us

$$|F_n(z) - \Phi^n(z)\Phi'(z)| \leq CR^n, \quad z \in V_R. \quad R > R_0,$$

About the proof cont'd

About the proof cont'd

- Consider the test polynomial Q_n associated with the weight $w \circ \Psi$ on \mathbb{D} :

$$Q_n(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} z^{n-k_n}$$

About the proof cont'd

- Consider the test polynomial Q_n associated with the weight $w \circ \Psi$ on \mathbb{D} :

$$Q_n(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} z^{n-k_n}$$

- On Ω , we pick our test polynomial to be

$$\Omega_n(z) = \alpha_n F_n + \gamma_{n-1} F_{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} F_{n-k_n}.$$

About the proof cont'd

- Consider the test polynomial Q_n associated with the weight $w \circ \Psi$ on \mathbb{D} :

$$Q_n(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} z^{n-k_n}$$

- On Ω , we pick our test polynomial to be

$$\Omega_n(z) = \alpha_n F_n + \gamma_{n-1} F_{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} F_{n-k_n}.$$

- Ω_n is a polynomial of degree n with dominant coefficient

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{G}_{w_1}^{-1/2} (\text{cap}(\Omega))^{-(n+1)}.$$

About the proof cont'd

- Consider the test polynomial Q_n associated with the weight $w \circ \Psi$ on \mathbb{D} :

$$Q_n(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} z^{n-k_n}$$

- On Ω , we pick our test polynomial to be

$$\Omega_n(z) = \alpha_n F_n + \gamma_{n-1} F_{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} F_{n-k_n}.$$

- Ω_n is a polynomial of degree n with dominant coefficient

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{G}_{w_1}^{-1/2} (\text{cap}(\Omega))^{-(n+1)}.$$

- Previous estimates on F_n and our choice of k_n make $\Omega_n \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly in Ω .

About the proof cont'd

- Consider the test polynomial Q_n associated with the weight $w \circ \Psi$ on \mathbb{D} :

$$Q_n(z) = \alpha_n z^n + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} z^{n-k_n}$$

- On Ω , we pick our test polynomial to be

$$\Omega_n(z) = \alpha_n F_n + \gamma_{n-1} F_{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_{n-k_n} F_{n-k_n}.$$

- Ω_n is a polynomial of degree n with dominant coefficient

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{G}_{w_1}^{-1/2} (\text{cap}(\Omega))^{-(n+1)}.$$

- Previous estimates on F_n and our choice of k_n make $\Omega_n \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly in Ω .
- Moreover, change of variable shows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Omega_n\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap V_{R_1}, w)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A}_R, w \circ \psi)} \leq 1.$$

The role of the $L \log^+ L$ condition

The role of the $L \log^+ L$ condition

- The role of the condition

$$\sup_{1-\varepsilon < \eta < 1} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} \log^- w \log^+(\log^- w) d\sigma < +\infty.$$

is to tie upper and lower estimates together by ensuring that

$$\mathcal{G}_{w_1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{G}_{w \circ \psi_r}.$$

The role of the $L \log^+ L$ condition

- The role of the condition

$$\sup_{1-\varepsilon < \eta < 1} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} \log^- w \log^+(\log^- w) d\sigma < +\infty.$$

is to tie upper and lower estimates together by ensuring that

$$\mathcal{G}_{w_1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{G}_{w \circ \psi_r}.$$

- This depends on the following fact:

The role of the $L \log^+ L$ condition

- The role of the condition

$$\sup_{1-\varepsilon < \eta < 1} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} \log^- w \log^+(\log^- w) d\sigma < +\infty.$$

is to tie upper and lower estimates together by ensuring that

$$\mathcal{G}_{w_1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{G}_{w \circ \psi_r}.$$

- This depends on the following fact:

Lemma. Let h_k be a bounded sequence in \mathfrak{h}^1 that converges pointwise a.e. to h on \mathbb{T} . Then $h \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ and $h_k d\theta$ converges weak-* to $h d\theta$ in \mathcal{M} .

The role of the $L \log^+ L$ condition

- The role of the condition

$$\sup_{1-\varepsilon < \eta < 1} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} \log^- w \log^+(\log^- w) d\sigma < +\infty.$$

is to tie upper and lower estimates together by ensuring that

$$\mathcal{G}_{w_1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{G}_{w \circ \psi_r}.$$

- This depends on the following fact:

Lemma. Let h_k be a bounded sequence in \mathfrak{h}^1 that converges pointwise a.e. to h on \mathbb{T} . Then $h \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ and $h_k d\theta$ converges weak-* to $h d\theta$ in \mathcal{M} .

Here \mathcal{M} is the space of complex measure and \mathfrak{h}^1 is the real Hardy space. For positive functions, $h \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ is equivalent to $h \log^+ h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ by a theorem of Riesz and Zygmund.

Generalizations

Generalizations

- The results extend to $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains.

Generalizations

- The results extend to $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains. In this case indeed, Ψ extends to a quasi-conformal map on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\bar{\partial}\Psi(z) = \mu(z)\partial\Psi(z), \quad \mu(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \notin \overline{\Omega},$$

Generalizations

- The results extend to $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains. In this case indeed, Ψ extends to a quasi-conformal map on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\bar{\partial}\Psi(z) = \mu(z)\partial\Psi(z), \quad \mu(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \notin \overline{\Omega},$$

and by a result of Dyn'kin

$$\mu(z) = O\left((|z| - 1)^\alpha\right)$$

(asymptotic conformality on $\partial\Omega$).

Generalizations

- The results extend to $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains. In this case indeed, Ψ extends to a quasi-conformal map on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\bar{\partial}\Psi(z) = \mu(z)\partial\Psi(z), \quad \mu(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \notin \overline{\Omega},$$

and by a result of Dyn'kin

$$\mu(z) = O\left((|z| - 1)^\alpha\right)$$

(asymptotic conformality on $\partial\Omega$). This is enough to control the surface integral contribution due to $\bar{\partial}\Psi$ when deforming integration from $\partial\Omega$ to Γ_R .

Questions

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Laurentiev domains also be treated this way?

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Lavrentiev domains also be treated this way? These are domains for which the conformal map extends quasi-conformally to \mathbb{C} .

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Lavrentiev domains also be treated this way? These are domains for which the conformal map extends quasi-conformally to \mathbb{C} .
- **Question:** can the L^p convergence of $w \circ \Psi_r$ be replaced by \mathfrak{h}^1 convergence?

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Lavrentiev domains also be treated this way? These are domains for which the conformal map extends quasi-conformally to \mathbb{C} .
- **Question:** can the L^p convergence of $w \circ \Psi_r$ be replaced by \mathfrak{h}^1 convergence?
- Can one obtain **rates**?

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Lavrentiev domains also be treated this way? These are domains for which the conformal map extends quasi-conformally to \mathbb{C} .
- **Question:** can the L^p convergence of $w \circ \Psi_r$ be replaced by \mathfrak{h}^1 convergence?
- Can one obtain **rates**?
- The techniques can also be used to give examples where κ_n has no limit, hence there are strong asymptotics.

Questions

- **Question:** can more general Lavrentiev domains also be treated this way? These are domains for which the conformal map extends quasi-conformally to \mathbb{C} .
- **Question:** can the L^p convergence of $w \circ \Psi_r$ be replaced by \mathfrak{h}^1 convergence?
- Can one obtain **rates**?
- The techniques can also be used to give examples where κ_n has no limit, hence there are strong asymptotics. Can one produce examples where there are no ratio asymptotics?

And most importantly

And most importantly

Thank You !!