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where the kernel function $K(x, y): \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is singular along the diagonal $x=y$.

- Typical conditions on the kernel are:

$$
|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d}} \quad \text { and }\left|\nabla_{x} K(x, y)\right|+\left|\nabla_{y} K(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d+1}} .
$$
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Plays an important role in complex analysis and harmonic analysis.

- Riesz transforms are the $d$-dimensional analogue of the Hilbert transform. Strong connection to the Laplacian. For $1 \leq j \leq d$ denote the Riesz transform in the $j$ th variable by

$$
R_{j}(f)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{x_{j}-y_{j}}{|x-y|^{d+1}} f(y) d y
$$
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## Question (Motivating Question)

Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a pair of weights $\sigma$ and $\omega$ and a smooth $\lambda$-fractional singular integral operator $T^{\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to characterize when it satisfies the following two weight norm inequality

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \omega\right)} \leq \mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma\right)}
$$
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- For non-negative operators, different than Calderón-Zygmund operators, the problem was resolved by E. Sawyer in 1989.
- Late 1990s/early 2000s Nazarov, Treil and Volberg (NTV) studied the problem for the Hilbert transform and formulated a conjecture about the Hilbert Transform acting between $L^{2}(\sigma)$ to $L^{2}(\omega)$.
- NTV Conjecture was resolved by Lacey, Sawyer, Shen, Uriarte-Tuero and Lacey in a two part paper in 2010s. Point masses in the measures were removed by Hytönen afterwards.
- Work by Lacey, Sawyer, Shen, Uriarte-Tuero, W. and others studying variants of this problem for different Calderón-Zygmund operators and classes of weights.
- Dyadic (discrete) variants studied by NTV, Hytönen, Vuorinen, and others.
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- We always have the necessary condition:

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \frac{|1-\overline{\vartheta(\lambda)} \vartheta(z)|^{2}}{|1-\bar{\lambda} z|^{2}} d \mu(z) \leq C(\mu)^{2}\left\|K_{\lambda}\right\|_{K_{\vartheta}}^{2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

- If $\vartheta$ is a one-component inner function: Namely,

$$
\Omega(\epsilon) \equiv\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|\vartheta(z)|<\epsilon\}, \quad 0<\epsilon<1
$$

is connected for some $\epsilon$ :

- Cohn proved that $\mu$ is a $K_{\vartheta}$-Carleson measure if and only if the testing conditions hold for Carleson boxes that intersect $\Omega(\epsilon)$.
- Treil and Volberg gave an alternate proof of this which also works for $1<p<\infty$.
- Nazarov and Volberg proved the obvious necessary condition is not sufficient for $\mu$ to be a $K_{\vartheta}$-Carleson measure.
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## Theorem (Nazarov, Volberg, (2002))

A measure $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $K_{\vartheta}$ if and only if
$\mathrm{C}: L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; \sigma) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{D}} ; \nu_{\vartheta, \mu}\right)$ is bounded.
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& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K^{\lambda}(x, y) g(x) d \omega(x)\right) f(y) d \sigma(y)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Invariance Under Adjoints

## Observation

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \Leftrightarrow\left\|T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)} .
$$

- Equivalent left hand side: $\left|\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)}$.
- First, $T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K^{\lambda}(x, y) f(y) d \sigma(y)$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}\right| & =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)(x) g(x) d \omega(x)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K^{\lambda}(x, y) f(y) d \sigma(y)\right) g(x) d \omega(x)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K^{\lambda}(x, y) g(x) d \omega(x)\right) f(y) d \sigma(y)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)(y) d \sigma(y)\right|=\left|\left\langle f, T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Invariance Under Adjoints

## Observation

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \Leftrightarrow\left\|T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)} .
$$

- Left hand side equivalent to:
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\left|\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)} .
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- Then:
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- Then:
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## Invariance Under Adjoints

## Observation

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \Leftrightarrow\left\|T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)} .
$$

- Left hand side equivalent to:

$$
\left|\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)} .
$$

- Then:

$$
\left|\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}\right|=\left|\left\langle f, T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\rangle_{\sigma}\right| .
$$

- Taking supremum over $f \in L^{p}(\sigma)$ :

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda, *}(\omega g)\right\|_{L p^{\prime}(\sigma)} \leq C\|g\|_{L p^{\prime}(\omega)} .
$$

- Argument is reversible by interchanging the roles of $\sigma$ and $\omega$ and $f$ and $g$.
B. D. Wick (WUSTL)


## Simple Necessary Conditions

- Local scalar testing conditions:
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\end{aligned}
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## Simple Necessary Conditions

- Local scalar testing conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbf{1}_{I} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma 1_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} & \leq\left.\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)|I|\right|_{\sigma} ^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\left\|\mathbf{1}_{I} T^{\lambda, *}\left(\omega \mathbf{1}_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)} & \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}(\omega, \sigma)|I|_{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Global scalar testing conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \mathbf{1}_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} & \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)|I|_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\
\left\|T^{\lambda, *}\left(\omega \mathbf{1}_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)} & \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}(\omega, \sigma)|I|_{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Weak boundedness property: For $I$ and $J(I)$, any cube adjacent to $I$ with the same length,

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma 1_{I}\right)(x) \mathbf{1}_{J(I)}(x) d \omega(x)\right| \leq \mathcal{W} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)|I|_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{p}}|J(I)| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} .
$$

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- We review the well-known $\ell^{2}$-extension of a bounded linear operator.
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- We review the well-known $\ell^{2}$-extension of a bounded linear operator. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be a large positive integer that we will send to $\infty$ at the end.
- Suppose $T$ is bounded from $L^{p}(\sigma)$ to $L^{p}(\omega), 0<p<\infty$, and for $\mathbf{f}=\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$, define

$$
T \mathbf{f} \equiv\left\{T f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}
$$

- For any unit vector $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{M}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{M}$ define

$$
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where the final equalities follow since $T$ is linear.

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- We review the well-known $\ell^{2}$-extension of a bounded linear operator. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be a large positive integer that we will send to $\infty$ at the end.
- Suppose $T$ is bounded from $L^{p}(\sigma)$ to $L^{p}(\omega), 0<p<\infty$, and for $\mathbf{f}=\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$, define

$$
T \mathbf{f} \equiv\left\{T f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}
$$

- For any unit vector $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{M}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{M}$ define

$$
\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}} \equiv\langle\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}\rangle \text { and } T_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{f} \equiv\langle T \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}\rangle=T\langle\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}\rangle=T \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}
$$

where the final equalities follow since $T$ is linear. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{f}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- Observe for a vector-valued function $\mathbf{F}(x)$ that:

$$
\langle\mathbf{F}(x), \mathbf{u}\rangle=|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}\left\langle\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}}, \mathbf{u}\right\rangle .
$$
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- Using: $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}|\langle\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\rangle|^{p} d \mathbf{u}=\gamma_{p}$ for $\|\mathbf{v}\|=1$,


## Vector-Valued Extensions

- Observe for a vector-valued function $\mathbf{F}(x)$ that:

$$
\langle\mathbf{F}(x), \mathbf{u}\rangle=|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}\left\langle\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}}, \mathbf{u}\right\rangle .
$$

- Using: $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}|\langle\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\rangle|^{p} d \mathbf{u}=\gamma_{p}$ for $\|\mathbf{v}\|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\langle\mathbf{F}(x), \mathbf{u}\rangle|^{p} d \mu(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}|\langle\mathbf{F}(x), \mathbf{u}\rangle|^{p} d \mathbf{u}\right\} d \mu(x) \\
& \left.=\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}| | \frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}}, \mathbf{u}\right\rangle\right|^{p} d \mathbf{u}\right\} d \mu(x) \\
& =\gamma_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathbf{F}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \mu(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- Altogether then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T \mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \omega(x)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{f}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \sigma(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\gamma_{p}\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \sigma(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- Altogether then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T \mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \omega(x)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{f}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \sigma(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\gamma_{p}\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \sigma(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dividing both sides by $\gamma_{p}$ we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T \mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \omega(x) \leq\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \sigma(x) .
$$

## Vector-Valued Extensions

- Altogether then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T \mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \omega(x)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{f}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \omega(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{M-1}}\left\{\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\right|^{p} d \sigma(x)\right\} d \mathbf{u} \\
& =\gamma_{p}\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \sigma(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dividing both sides by $\gamma_{p}$ we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T \mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \omega(x) \leq\|T\|_{L^{p}(\sigma) \rightarrow L^{p}(\omega)}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\mathbf{f}(x)|_{\ell^{2}}^{p} d \sigma(x) .
$$

- Let $M \nearrow \infty$ to obtain the $\ell^{2}$ vector-valued extension.


## Equivalent Problem

## Question (Motivating Question)

Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a pair of weights $\sigma$ and $\omega$ and a smooth $\lambda$-fractional singular integral operator $T^{\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to characterize when it satisfies the following two weight norm inequality:

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \omega\right)} \leq \mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma\right)}
$$
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## Question (Equivalent Question)

Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a pair of weights $\sigma$ and $\omega$ and a smooth $\lambda$-fractional singular integral operator $T^{\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to characterize when it satisfies the following two weight norm inequality:

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma f_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \omega\right)} \leq \mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma\right)} .
$$

## Quadratic Weak Boundedness

- Quadratic Weak Boundedness:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I_{i}^{*} \in \operatorname{Adj}\left(I_{i}\right)}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} a_{i} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma 1_{I_{i}}\right)(x) b_{i}^{*} 1_{I_{i}^{*}}(x) d \omega(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \mathcal{W B} \mathcal{P}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\ell^{2}}(\sigma, \omega)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \\
& \quad \times\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I_{i}^{*} \in \operatorname{Adj}\left(I_{i}\right)}\left|b_{i}^{*} 1_{I_{i}^{*}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $I \in \mathcal{D}$, its adjacent cubes are defined by

$$
\operatorname{Adj}(I) \equiv\left\{I^{*} \in \mathcal{D}: I^{*} \cap I \neq \emptyset \text { and } \ell\left(I^{*}\right)=\ell(I)\right\}
$$

## Quadratic Testing Conditions

- The local quadratic cube testing conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\text {quad }}(\sigma, \omega)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}, \\
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} T^{\lambda, *}\left(\omega \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \underset{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)}{\leq \widetilde{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}^{\text {quad }}(\omega, \sigma)}\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

taken over all sequences $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of cubes and numbers respectively.

## Quadratic Testing Conditions

- The local quadratic cube testing conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)}^{\leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\text {quad }}(\sigma, \omega)}\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}, \\
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} T^{\lambda, *}\left(\omega \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\sigma)}^{\leq} \leq \widetilde{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}^{\text {quad }}(\omega, \sigma)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

taken over all sequences $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of cubes and numbers respectively.

- The corresponding quadratic global cube testing constants $\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\text {quad,global }}(\sigma, \omega)$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}^{\text {quad, }}(\omega, \sigma)$ are defined as above, but without the indicator $1_{I_{i}}$ outside the operator, namely with $\mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)$ replaced by $T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right)$ and symmetrically.
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- $T^{\lambda}$ is Stein elliptic if there is a choice of constant $C$ and appropriate cubes $I^{*}$ such that
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\left|T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma 1_{I}\right)(x)\right| \geq c \frac{|I|_{\sigma}}{|I|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}} \text { for } x \in I^{*}
$$

- Necessity of the quadratic offset $A_{p}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}$ condition then follows from the global quadratic testing condition. The condition is:
$\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}^{*}} \frac{\left|I_{i}\right|_{\sigma}}{\left|I_{i}\right|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq A_{p}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}(\sigma, \omega)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}$
taken over all sequences $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of cubes and constants respectively. Dual versions by interchanging $\sigma$ and $\omega$ and $p$ and $p^{\prime}$.
- Scalar versions exist: $\frac{\left.|I|\right|_{\sigma_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p}}\right.}{|I|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}} \leq A_{p}^{\lambda}(\sigma, \omega)$.
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## Main Theorem in the Doubling Setting

## Theorem (E. Sawyer and B. D. Wick, (2022))

Suppose that $1<p<\infty$, that $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are locally finite positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}(\omega, \sigma)+\mathcal{W} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\ell^{2}}(\sigma, \omega) \lesssim \mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)
$$

and when $T^{\lambda}$ is Stein elliptic, we also have

$$
A_{p}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}(\sigma, \omega)+A_{p^{\prime}}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}(\omega, \sigma) \lesssim \mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega) .
$$

If additionally, $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are doubling measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega) \lesssim & \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}, p}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}, p^{\prime}}(\omega, \sigma)+\mathcal{W B P}_{T^{\lambda}, p}^{\ell^{2}}(\sigma, \omega) \\
& +A_{p}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}(\sigma, \omega)+A_{p^{\prime}}^{\lambda, \ell^{2}, \text { offset }}(\omega, \sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conjecture of Hytönen and Vuorinen

## Conjecture (Hytönen and Vuorinen)

Suppose $1<p<\infty$ and that $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are locally finite positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$. Then the two weight norm inequality for the Hilbert transform holds if and only if the global quadratic interval testing conditions hold. Moreover, we have the equivalence

$$
\mathfrak{N}_{H, p}(\sigma, \omega) \approx \mathfrak{T}_{H, p}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathfrak{T}_{H, p^{\prime}}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\omega, \sigma) .
$$

## Conjecture (Hytönen and Vuorinen)

Suppose $1<p<\infty$ and that $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are locally finite positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_{H, p}(\sigma, \omega) \approx & \mathfrak{T}_{H, p}^{\ell^{2}, \text { loc }}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathfrak{T}_{H, p^{\prime}}^{\ell^{2}, \text { loc }}(\omega, \sigma)+\mathcal{W B}^{\mathcal{P}_{H, p}}(\sigma, \omega) \\
& +\mathcal{A}_{p}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\omega, \sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Partial Progress on the Hytönen-Vuorinen Conjecture

## Theorem (E. Sawyer and B. D. Wick (2023))

Suppose $p \in\left(\frac{4}{3}, 4\right)$ and that $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are locally finite positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$ without common point masses. Then the two weight norm inequality for the Hilbert transform holds if and only if the local quadratic interval testing conditions hold, the global Muckenhoupt condition holds, and the quadratic weak boundedness property holds. Moreover, we have the equivalence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_{H, p}(\sigma, \omega) \approx & \mathfrak{T}_{H, p}^{\ell^{2}, \text { loc }}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathfrak{T}_{H, p^{\prime}}^{\ell^{2}, \text { loc }}(\omega, \sigma)+\mathcal{W} \mathcal{B P}_{H, p}^{\ell^{2}}(\sigma, \omega) \\
& +\mathcal{A}_{p}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\sigma, \omega)+\mathcal{A}_{p^{\prime}}^{\ell^{2}, \text { glob }}(\omega, \sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sobolev Space in the Weighted Setting

## Definition

Let $\mu$ be a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $\mathcal{D}$-dyadic homogeneous $W_{\mathcal{D}}^{s}(\mu)$-Sobolev norm of a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\mu)$ by

$$
\|f\|_{W_{\mathcal{D}}^{s}(\mu)}^{2} \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(Q)^{-2 s}\left\|\triangle_{Q}^{\mu} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}
$$

## Sobolev Space in the Weighted Setting

## Definition

Let $\mu$ be a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $\mathcal{D}$-dyadic homogeneous $W_{\mathcal{D}}^{s}(\mu)$-Sobolev norm of a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\mu)$ by

$$
\|f\|_{W_{\mathcal{D}}^{s}(\mu)}^{2} \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(Q)^{-2 s}\left\|\triangle_{Q}^{\mu} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}^{2}
$$

## Definition

For $s>0$ and small enough and $\mu$ doubling, there is a familiar 'continuous' norm,

$$
\|f\|_{W^{s}(\mu)}=\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{|x-y|^{s}}\right)^{2} \frac{d \mu(x) d \mu(y)}{\left|B\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{|x-y|}{2}\right)\right|_{\mu}}} .
$$

## Sobolev Version in the $L^{2}$ Setting

## Theorem (E. Sawyer and B. D. Wick, (Math Z. 2022))

Let $\sigma$ and $\omega$ be doubling Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then if $0<s<\theta$, with $\theta$ depending on the doubling constants of $\sigma$ and $\omega$ it holds

$$
\left\|T^{\lambda}(\sigma f)\right\|_{W^{s}(\omega)} \lesssim\left(A_{2}^{\lambda}+\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}}+\mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}}\right)\|f\|_{W^{s}(\sigma)},
$$

provided the fractional Muckenhoupt condition and the Sobolev testing conditions are finite, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}^{\lambda} & \equiv \sup _{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{n}} \frac{|Q|_{\omega}|Q|_{\sigma}}{|Q|^{2\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)}} \\
\left\|T_{\sigma}^{\lambda} 1_{I}\right\|_{W^{s}(\omega)} & \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda}}(\sigma, \omega) \sqrt{|I|_{\sigma}} \ell(I)^{-s}, \quad I \in \mathcal{Q}^{n}, \\
\left\|T_{\omega}^{\lambda, *} 1_{I}\right\|_{W^{-s}(\sigma)} & \leq \mathfrak{T}_{T^{\lambda, *}}(\omega, \sigma) \sqrt{|I|_{\omega}} \ell(I)^{s}, \quad I \in \mathcal{Q}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Martingale Differences and Haar Functions

- Let $\mu$ be a positive locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dyadic grid on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
- Let $\left\{\triangle_{Q}^{\mu}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ be the associated set of weighted Haar projections on $L^{2}(\mu)$. In particular $\triangle_{Q}^{\mu} f(x)=\left\langle f, h_{Q}^{\mu}\right\rangle h_{Q}^{\mu}(x)$ where $\left\{h_{Q}^{\mu}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ is the associated orthonormal Haar basis.
- Then for $1<p<\infty, f \in L^{p}(\mu), f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \Delta_{Q}^{\mu} f$.
- Define the (Haar) martingale square function

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\mu} f(x) \equiv\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}\left|\triangle_{Q}^{\mu} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

- Key Fact: For $1<p<\infty$,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{S}^{\mu} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \approx\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}
$$

## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- Without loss can assume that $f$ and $g$ are supported on a large common dyadic interval. Can further assume that $\int f d \sigma=0$ and $\int g d \omega=0$ by using the testing conditions.
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- Without loss can assume that $f$ and $g$ are supported on a large common dyadic interval. Can further assume that $\int f d \sigma=0$ and $\int g d \omega=0$ by using the testing conditions.
- Write $f=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \Delta_{I}^{\sigma} f$ and $g=\sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}} \Delta_{J}^{\omega} g$ using the Haar basis.
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## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- Without loss can assume that $f$ and $g$ are supported on a large common dyadic interval. Can further assume that $\int f d \sigma=0$ and $\int g d \omega=0$ by using the testing conditions.
- Write $f=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \Delta_{I}^{\sigma} f$ and $g=\sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}} \Delta_{J}^{\omega} g$ using the Haar basis.
- Expand the bilinear form associated to $T^{\lambda}$ :

$$
\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}=\sum_{I, J \in \mathcal{D}}\left\langle T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right), \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g\right\rangle_{\omega} .
$$

- Decompose $\left\langle T^{\lambda}(\sigma f), g\right\rangle_{\omega}=\sum_{\mathcal{P}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(f, g)$ where $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$.
- Typical example can be written as:

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(f, g)=\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left\langle T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right), \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g\right\rangle_{\omega}=\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left\langle\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T_{\sigma}^{\lambda} \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f, \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g\right\rangle_{\omega} .
$$

B. D. Wick (WUSTL)
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## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz in $\ell^{2}$ and Hölder in $L^{p}(\omega)$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(f, g)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\{\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}} \triangle_{J}^{\omega} T_{\sigma}^{\lambda} \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f(x) \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right\} d \omega(x)\right| \\
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## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz in $\ell^{2}$ and Hölder in $L^{p}(\omega)$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(f, g)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\{\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}} \triangle_{J}^{\omega} T_{\sigma}^{\lambda} \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f(x) \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right\} d \omega(x)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right)(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \omega(x) \\
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## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz in $\ell^{2}$ and Hölder in $L^{p}(\omega)$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(f, g)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\{\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}} \triangle_{J}^{\omega} T_{\sigma}^{\lambda} \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f(x) \triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right\} d \omega(x)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right)(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \omega(x) \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right)(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|\left(\sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\left|\triangle_{J}^{\omega} g(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|_{L^{p}(\omega)}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left.\left\{\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right)\right\}_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\right|_{\ell^{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)}\left\|\left.\left\{\triangle_{J}^{\omega} g\right\}_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}\right|_{\ell^{2}}\right\|_{L^{p^{p}}(\omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Main Idea Behind the Estimates

- The second factor is controlled by $\|g\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}(\omega)}$ provided the pairs $(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}$ are pigeonholed so that only a bounded number of $I^{\prime} s$ are paired with a given $J$.
- To handle the first factor we need to manipulate the sequence $\left\{\triangle_{J}^{\omega} T^{\lambda}\left(\sigma \triangle_{I}^{\sigma} f\right)\right\}_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}}$ so as to apply one of the quadratic hypotheses.
- Some of the standard proof ingredients in this setting appear:
- Use of random dyadic grids and good/bad intervals of Nazarov, Treil and Volberg.
- Control of paraproduct type operators by the Carleson Embedding Theorem and the testing conditions.
- Square function estimates, and variants, are used to control the factor involving $\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\omega)}$.
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